

for leaning mathematics proof. Besides, to initiate systematic projects on the influences of nonlinear text in the learning of geometry proof is required for the technology-based learning environment.

Acknowledgements

We thank Carol Hsieh for proofreading our English manuscript and Xin-Yi Liu for her help in editing this manuscript. This paper is part of a research project funded by the National Science Council of Taiwan (NSC95-2521-S-003-002). The views and opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NSC.

References

- Borasi, R., & Siegel, M. (2000). *Reading counts: Expanding the role of reading in mathematics classrooms*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Cheng, Y. H., & Lin, F. L. (2007). The effectiveness and limitation of reading and coloring strategy in learning geometry proof. In J. H. Woo, K. S. Park & D. Y. Seo (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st PME Conference*, 2, 113-120.
- Dee-Lucas, D., & Larkin, J. H. (1990). Organization and comprehensibility in scientific proofs, or "Consider a particle". *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82(4), 701-714.
- Duval, R. (1998). Geometry from a cognitive point of view. In C. Mammana & V. Villani (Eds.), *Perspectives on the Teaching of Geometry for the 21st century. An International Commission on Mathematical Instruction (ICMI) Study* (pp. 37-52). Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Goldman, S., Varma, S., & Cote, N. (1996). Extending capacity-constrained construction integration: Toward "smarter" and flexible models of text comprehension. In B. K. Britton & A. C. Grasser (Eds.), *Models of Understanding Text* (pp. 73-114). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associate.

- Harel, G., & Sowder, L. (1998). Student's proof schemes: Results from exploratory studies. In A. H. Schoenfeld, J. Kaput & E. Dubinsky (Eds.), *Research on Collegiate Mathematics Education III* (pp. 234-283). Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society.
- Healy, L., & Hoyles, C. (2000). A Study of Proof Conceptions in Algebra. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 31(4), 396-428.
- Heinze, A., Cheng, Y. H., & Yang, K. L. (2004). Students' performance in reasoning and proof in Taiwan and Germany: Results, paradoxes and open questions. *Zentralblatt für Didaktik der Mathematik(ZDM)*, 36(5), 162-171.
- Herbst, P. (2002). Establishing a custom of proving in American school geometry: Evolution of the two-column proof in the early twentieth century. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 49, 283-312.
- Housman, D., & Porter, M. (2003). Proof schemes and learning strategies of above-average mathematics students. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 53(2), 139-158.
- Kaplan, R. B., & Ostler, S. (1982, May). *Contrastive rhetoric revisited*. Paper presented at the 16th Annual TESOL Convention, Honolulu, Hawaii.
- Kintsch, W. (1988). The use of knowledge in discourse processing: A construction-integration model. *Psychological Review*, 95, 163-182.
- Lee, J. F. (1986). Background knowledge and L2 reading. *Modern Language Journal*, 70, 351-354.
- Lin, F. L., & Tsao, L. C. (1999). Exam maths re-examined. In C. Hoyles, C. Morgan & G. Woodhouse (Eds.), *Rethinking the Mathematics Curriculum* (pp. 228-239). London: Falmer Press.
- Lin, F. L., & Yang, K. L. (2007). The reading comprehension of geometric proofs: The contribution of knowledge and reasoning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 5(4), 729-754.
- McGee, L. M. (1982). Awareness of text structure. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 17, 581-590.
- McNamara, D. S., Kintsch, E., Songer, N. B., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Are good texts always better? Text coherence, background knowledge, and levels of understanding in learning from text. *Cognition and Instruction*, 14, 1-43.
- Meyer, B. J. F., & Freedle, R. O. (1984). Effects of Discourse Type on Recall. *American*

- Educational Research Journal*, 21(1), 121-143.
- Miles, M., & Huberman, M. (1984). *Qualitative data analysis: A sourcebook of new methods*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Gonzalez, E. J., Gregory, K. D., Garden, R. A., O'Connor, K. M., Chrostowski, S. J., & Smith, T. A. (2000). *TIMSS 1999 International Mathematics Report*. IEA. Boston: International Study Center Lynch School of Education.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (1989). *Curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.
- Seidenberg, P. L. (1989). Relating text-processing research to reading and writing instruction for learning disabled students. *Learning Disabilities Focus*, 5(1), 4-12.
- Selden, A., & Selden, J. (2003). Validations of proofs considered as texts: Can undergraduates tell whether an argument proves a theorem? *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 34(1), 4-36.
- Tall, D. (1998, August). *The cognitive development of proof: Is mathematical proof for all or for some?* Paper presented at the conference of the University of Chicago School Mathematics Project, Chicago, IL.
- Yang, K. L., and Lin, F. L. (2008). A model of reading comprehension of geometry proof. *Educational Studies in Mathematics Education*, 67(1), 59-76.
- Yang, K. L., & Wang, L. W. (2008, July). *Propositions posed under a proof without its proposition*. Paper accepted to present at the 11th International Congress on Mathematical Education, Monterrey, Mexico.
- Yang, K. L., Lin, F. L., & Wu, J. D. (2008). *Re-investigating Characteristics of Mathematical Conjecturing*. Paper submitted to PME 32, Mexico.