

## 參考文獻

- 卯靜儒、陳佩英、蘇源恭（2008）。美國中小學教師評鑑方案之分析。載於潘慧玲（主編），*教師評鑑理論與實務*（頁53-90）。臺北：臺灣師範大教育評鑑與發展研究中心。
- [Mao, C. J., Chen, P., & Saw, G. K. (2008). Teacher evaluation program in the USA: An institutional analysis. In H. L. Pan (Ed.), *Teacher evaluation: Theory and practice* (pp. 53-90). Taipei: Center for Research on Educational Evaluation and Development, National Taiwan Normal University.]
- 吳清山（1994）。美國教育改革焦點——評析「兩千年教育目標法案」之教育目標。*教師天地*，73，56-59。
- [Wu, C. S. (1994). The primary issues of American educational reform: Analyses on the education goals in "Goals 2000: Educate America Act." *New Horizon Bimonthly for Teachers in Taipei*, 73, 56-59.]
- 周淑卿（1995）。美國公元2000年教育目標法案。*教育研究資訊*，3（3），143-147。
- [Chou, S. C. (1995). Goals 2000: Educate America Act. *Educational Research & Information*, 3(3), 143-147.]
- 陳明印（2002）。美國2001年初等及中等教育法案修正法案之分析。*教育研究資訊*，10（1），205-228。
- [Chen M. Y. (2002). An analysis of the reauthorized version of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 2001 in the United States. *Educational Research & Information*, 10(1), 205-228.]
- 張奕華（2004）。從「布朗案」論NCLB政策中績效責任系統之內涵與執行。*教育研究月刊*，124，139-150。
- [Chang, I. (2004). Discussing the contents and implementation of accountability system in NCLB through "Brown v. Board of Education." *Journal of Education Research*, 124, 139-150.]
- 楊巧玲（2007）。美國教育政策的發展及其啓示：沒有任何孩子落後。*教育資料集刊*，36，153-170。
- [Yang, C. L. (2007). The development of American educational policy and its implications: No Child Left Behind. *Bulletin of Educational Research*, 36, 153-170.]

- 楊慶麟 (1996)。美國新聯邦教育政策探討。教育資料文摘, 38, 148-155。
- [Yang, C. L. (1996). A study on the new federal education policy in the US. *Education Information Digest*, 38, 148-155.]
- Armor, D. J. (2008). Can NCLB close achievement gaps? In A. R. Sadovnik, J. A. O'Day, G. W. Bohrnstedt, & K. M. Borman (Eds.), *No Child Left Behind and the reduction of the achievement gap: Sociological perspectives on federal educational policy* (pp. 323-342). New York: Routledge.
- Apple, M. W. (2007). Ideological success, educational failure? On the politics of No Child Left Behind. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 58(2), 108-116.
- Azzam, A. M. (2008). Left behind—By design. *Educational Leadership*, 65(4), 91-92.
- Barton, P. E. (2006). *"Failing" or "succeeding" schools: How can we tell?* Washinton, DC: American Federation of Teachers.
- Borkowski, J. W., & Sneed, M. (2006). Will NCLB improve or harm public education? *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(4), 503-525.
- Bracey, G. W. (2004). *The seven deadly absurdities of No Child Left Behind*. Retrieved July 23, 2009, from <http://nochildleft.com/2004/oct04absurd.html>
- Branch-Brioso, K., Powell, C. T., & Roach, R. (2008). Bush to Obama: Education in transition. *Diverse: Issues in Higher Education*, 25(23), 8-12.
- Brown, W. (2000). Reporting NAEP achievement levels: An analysis of policy and external reviews. In M. Bourque & S. Byrd (Eds.), *Student performance standards on the National Assessment of Educational Progress: Affirmation and improvements* (pp. 11-40). Washington, DC: National Assessment Governing Board.
- California Department of Education. (2007). 2007 adequate yearly progress report: Information Guide. Retrieved December 2, 2007, from <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ayp/>
- California Teacher Association. (2007). Erase, rewrite, and reauthorize! Reauthorizing ESEA/NCLB fact sheet. Retrieved July 23, 2007, from <http://www.cta.org/NR/rdonlyres/31310D9B-7793-4E0FBBD69A60392426A9/0/3ESEAFrequentlyAskedQuestionsFINAL41907.doc>
- Carey, K. (2007). The pangloss index: How states game the No Child Left Behind Act. Retrieved January 8, 2008, from [http://www.educationsector.org/usr\\_doc/The\\_Pangloss\\_Index.pdf](http://www.educationsector.org/usr_doc/The_Pangloss_Index.pdf).

- Center on Education Policy. (2007). *Answering the question that matters most: Has student achievement increased since No Child Left Behind?* Retrieved January 8, 2008, from <http://www.cep-dc.org/document/docWindow.cfm?fuseaction=document.viewDocument&documentid=200&documentFormatId=3620>
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2004). From “separate but equal” to “No Child Left Behind:” The collision of new standards and old equalities. In D. Merier & G. Wood (Eds.), *Many children left behind: How the No Child Left Behind ct is damaging our children and our schools* (pp. 3-32). Boston: Beacon Press.
- Dietz, S. (2010). How many schools have not made adequate yearly progress under the No Child Left Behind Act? Retrieved June 1, 2010, from <http://www.cep-dc.org/document/docWindow.cfm?fuseaction=document.viewDocument&documentid=303&documentFormatId=4598>
- Dillon, S. (2009). Sluggish Results Seen in Math Scores. *The New York Times*. Retrieved April 7, 2010, from [http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/15/education/15math.html?\\_r=1](http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/15/education/15math.html?_r=1)
- Dillon, S. (2010). Obama Calls for Major Change in Education Law. *The New York Times*. Retrieved April 7, 2010, from <http://www.nytimes.com/2010/03/14/education/14child.html?ref=education>
- Editorial Projects in Education Research Center. (2007). *Educations counts*. Retrieved July 14, 2007, from <http://www2.edweek.org/rc/edcounts/>
- Elmore, R. F. (2002). *Bridging the gap between standards and achievement: The imperative for professional development in education*. Washington, DC: Albert Shanker Institute.
- Emerick, S., Hirsch, E., & Berry, B. (2004). Does highly qualified mean high-quality? Retrieved July 27, 2007, from <http://hub.mspnet.org/index.cfm/11940>
- EPE Research Center. (2008a). Schools – Adequate yearly progress and improvement status under NCLB. Retrieved January 30, 2009, from <http://www.edweek.org/media/16ayp-schools.pdf>
- EPE Research Center. (2008b). Schools and districts – Stages of improvement under NCLB. Retrieved January 30, 2009, from <http://www.edweek.org/media/16ayp-ini.pdf>
- Fetler, M. (2007). NCLB blue: An essay review. *Education Review*, 10(9). Retrieved September 5, 2007, from <http://edrev.asu.edu/essays/v10n9index.html>
- Field, S., Kuczera, M., & Pont, B. (2007). No more failures: The steps to equity in education.

- Retrieved February 15, 2008, from <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/50/18/38692453.pdf>
- Guisbond, L., & Neill, M. (2004). *Failing our children: No Child Left Behind undermines quality and equity in education*. Cambridge: The National Center for Fair and Open Testing.
- Haney, W. M. (2006, September). Evidence on education under NCLB (and How Florida Boosted NAEP Scores and Reduced the Race Gap). Paper presented at the Hechinger Institute "Broad Seminar for K-12 Reporters," Grace Dodge Hall, Teachers College, Columbia University, New York City.
- Hardy, L. (2008). The second tier. *American School Board Journal*, 195(11), 20-24.
- Harris, D. N., & Herrington, C. D. (2006). Accountability, standards, and the growing achievement gap: Lessons from the past half-century. *American Journal of Education*, 112, 209-238.
- Hess, F. M., & Petrilli, M. J. (2006). *No child left behind: Primer*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Hoerander, C. M., & Lemke, R. J. (2006). Can no child left behind close the gaps in pass rates on standardized tests? *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 24(1), 1-17.
- Hoff, D. (2008). The latest news on the reauthorization of the No Child Left Behind act. Retrieved March 22, 2008, from [http://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/NCLB-ActII/2008/03/antinclb\\_bills\\_advance\\_in\\_ariz.html#trackback](http://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/NCLB-ActII/2008/03/antinclb_bills_advance_in_ariz.html#trackback)
- Hoff, D. (2009). The latest news on the reauthorization of the No Child Left Behind act. Retrieved January 30, 2009, from <http://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/NCLB-ActII/>
- Jacobson, L. (2008). NCLB restructuring found ineffectual in California. *Education Week*, 27(24), 15-17.
- Leonardo, Z. (2007). The war on schools: NCLB, nation creation and the educational construction of whiteness. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 10(3), 261-278.
- Lewis, A. C. (2009). Think ahead to red penciling. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(5), 315-316.
- Linn, R. L. (2005). *Test-based educational accountability in the era of No Child Left Behind*. Oakland, CA: The Regents of the University of California.
- Manna, P. (2006). Control, persuasion, and educational accountability: Implementing the No Child Left Behind Act. *Educational Policy*, 20(3), 471-494.
- Mathis, W. J. (2009). NCLB's Ultimate Restructuring Alternatives: Do they Improve the Quality of Education. Retrieved December 20, 2009, from <http://greatlakescenter.org/>

docs/Policy\_Briefs/Mathis\_Restructuring.pdf

- McNeil, L. M., Coppola, E., Radigan, J., & Heilig, J. V. (2008). *Avoidable losses: High-stakes accountability and the dropout crisis*. Retrieved March 20, 2008, from <http://centerforeducation.rice.edu/Research/AvoidableLosses.htm>.
- Meier, D., & Wood, G. (Eds.). (2004). *Many children left behind: How the No Child Left Behind Act is damaging our children and our schools*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- National Conference of State Legislatures. (2005). *Task force on No Child Left Behind Final Report*. Denver: Author.
- Noddings, N. (2005). *The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education*. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- NSBA. (2008). Obama's position on education. Retrieved September 1, 2009, from [http://www.cabe.org/uploaded/Convention\\_Handouts/Obama's\\_position\\_on\\_Education\\_9\\_08.pdf](http://www.cabe.org/uploaded/Convention_Handouts/Obama's_position_on_Education_9_08.pdf)
- Olson, L. (2004). Taking root. *Education Week*, 8, S1-S7.
- Paige, R. (2006). No Child Left Behind: The ongoing movement for public education reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(4), 461-473.
- Ravitch, D. (2010). *The death and life of the great American school system: How testing and choice are undermining education*. New York: Basic Books.
- Rebell, M. A., & Wolff, J. R. (2008). *Moving every child ahead: From NCLB hype to meaningful educational opportunity*. New York: Teacher College, Columbia University.
- Reich, R. (2006). Equality and adequacy in the state's provision of education: Mapping the conceptual landscape. Retrieved July 14, 2007, from [http://www.irepp.stanford.edu/documents/GDF/STUDIES/01-Reich/1-Reich\(3-07\).pdf](http://www.irepp.stanford.edu/documents/GDF/STUDIES/01-Reich/1-Reich(3-07).pdf).
- Ryan, J. E. (2004). The tenth amendment and other paper tigers: The legal boundaries of education governance. In N. Epstein (Ed.), *Who's in charge here? The tangled web of school governance and policy* (pp. 42-74). Washington, DC: Brookings.
- Sadovnik, A. R., O'Day, J., Bohrnstedt, G., & Borman, K. M. (2008). *No Child Left Behind and the reduction of the achievement gap: Sociological perspectives on federal educational policy*. New York: Routledge.
- Saulny, S. (2010). Detroit plan would close 45 schools. *The New York Times*. Retrieved April 4, 2010, from <http://www.nytimes.com/2010/03/18/education/18detroit.html>

- Sirotnik, K. A. (2004). Introduction: Critical concerns about accountability concepts and practices. In K. A. Sirotnik (Ed.), *Holding accountability accountable: What ought to matter in public education* (pp. 1-17). New York: Teacher college, Columbia University.
- Spellings, M. (2006). Key policy letters signed by the education secretary or deputy secretary. Retrieved January 4, 2008, from <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/secletter/060905.html>
- Sunderman, G. L. (2006). *The unraveling of no child left behind: How negotiated changes transform the law*. Cambridge: The Civil Rights Project at Harvard University.
- Sunderman, G. L., Tracey, C. A., Kim, J., & Orfield, G. (2004). *Listening to teachers: Classroom realities and No Child Left Behind*. Retrieved June 3, 2010, from <http://rapb.mspnet.org/index.cfm/9090>
- Sunderman, G. L., & Orfield, G. (2006). Domesticating a revolution: No Child Left Behind reforms and state administrative response. *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(4), 526-556.
- Superfine, B. M. (2005). The politics of accountability: The rise and fall of goals 2000. *American Journal of Education*, 112, 10-43.
- The Economist YouGov Poll. (2009). The Economist Yougov poll September 27-29 2009. *The Economist*. Retrieved April 6, 2010, from <http://media.economist.com/images/pdf/Toplines20091001.pdf>
- The Education Trust. (2003). *Telling the whole truth (or not) about highly qualified teachers: New state data*. Retrieved September 1, 2007, from [http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2sql/content\\_storage\\_01/0000019b/80/27/fa/ad.pdf](http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2sql/content_storage_01/0000019b/80/27/fa/ad.pdf)
- Tuerk, P. W. (2005). Research in the high-stakes era: Achievement, resources, and No Child Left Behind. *American Psychologist Society*, 16(6), 419-425.
- U.S. Department of Education. (1991). *American 2000! An education strategy*. Department of Education, Washington, DC. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED327009)
- U.S. Department of Education. (2004a). *New No Child Left Behind flexibility: Highly qualified teacher*. Retrieved July 7, 2007, from <http://www.ed.gov/nclb/methods/teachers/hqtflexibility.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2004b). *Meeting the highly qualified teachers challenge: The*

- Secretary's third annual report on teacher quality*. Washinton, DC.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2007). *Teacher quality enhancement program: Grantee level analysis (2005-06 Data) for partnership and state grants*. Retrieved April 8, 2010, from <http://www2.ed.gov/programs/heatqp/tqanalysis0506.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Education. (2009). *National Assessment of Educational Progress*. Retrieved June 1, 2010, from [http://nationsreportcard.gov/math\\_2009/](http://nationsreportcard.gov/math_2009/)
- U.S. Department of Education. (2010). *A blueprint for reform: The reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act*. Retrieved June 1, 2010, from <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/blueprint/blueprint.pdf>
- Vinovskis, M. A. (2009). *From a nation at risk to No Child Left Behind : national education goals and the creation of federal education policy*. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Weinstein, A. (2009). *Obama on No Child Left Behind*. Retrieved September 9, 2009, from [http://www.education.com/magazine/article/Obama\\_Child\\_Left\\_Behind/](http://www.education.com/magazine/article/Obama_Child_Left_Behind/)
- White House. (2002). *President signs landmark education bill*. Retrieved July 9, 2007, from <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/01/20020108-1.html>.
- Zimmer, R., Hamilton, L., & Christina, R. (2010). After-school tutoring in the context of No Child Left Behind: Effectiveness of two programs in the Pittsburgh public schools. *Economics of Education Review*, 29(1), 18-28.